

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,035	06/23/2003	David N. Kermoade	33420	1034
23589 7	590 10/28/2005	EXAMINER		
HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP 2405 GRAND BLVD., SUITE 400 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108			SEMBER, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H-1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Nation of Abandanasant	10/604,035	KERMOADE, DAVID N.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas M. Sember	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 18 March 2005. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet		the half				
		Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2875				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 10262005

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: On 10/24/2005, Examiner placed a courtesy phone call to Andrew Columbo and left a message that examiner wanted to verify that no response was made to the examiner's office action filed 03/18/2005. The examiner called the applicant's representative so that if a response was made the applicant could avoid paying a petition fee to reopen prosecution. However, Mr. Columbo never called Examiner Sember back so it is assumed this case is to be abandoned..